

Fostering Well-being in Social Interaction: A Systematic Review of the Application of Positive Psychology in Sociolinguistics Pedagogy

Samtidar*

samtidar@unm.ac.id

Universitas Negeri Makassar

*corresponding author

Muhammad Safar Nur

fs_safarnur@unm.ac.id

Universitas Negeri Makassar

Andi Muhammad Irawan

muhammadirawan@unm.ac.id

Universitas Negeri Makassar

Abstract

Research in second language acquisition (SLA) has undergone a significant affective turn over the last decade, with a burgeoning interest in the role of positive emotions in the learning process. In line with this shift, Positive Psychology (PP), as the scientific study of human well-being and flourishing, has emerged as a promising framework for enhancing language learner motivation, engagement, and performance. However, while research on the application of PP in SLA generally has grown rapidly, its application within the nuanced and socially embedded domain of Sociolinguistics pedagogy remains critically underexplored. This systematic review aims to bridge that gap by synthesizing the relevant literature from 2015 to 2025. It proposes a theoretical framework for integrating PP principles into sociolinguistic pedagogy. The central argument is that the core concepts of PP, particularly Seligman's PERMA model (Positive Emotion, Engagement, Relationships, Meaning, and Accomplishment), offer a powerful analytical lens not only for enhancing communicative and intercultural competence but also for actively fostering positive language attitudes and creating inclusive, supportive classroom environments. By mapping the conceptual synergy between PP's goal of promoting well-being and sociolinguistics' goal of understanding language in social context, this review articulates a transformative pedagogy. This pedagogy moves beyond the critical analysis of linguistic phenomena toward the active cultivation of the psychological strengths necessary for students to navigate and positively contribute to a linguistically diverse world. The review concludes by outlining a detailed future research agenda to empirically validate the proposed synthesis and to spur the development of a more humanistic and empowering sociolinguistic pedagogy.

Keywords: Systematic Review, Positive Psychology, Sociolinguistics.

INTRODUCTION

Language education has, historically, been long dominated by a cognitive perspective that prioritizes the mechanisms of acquiring grammatical and lexical structures. Within this paradigm, the affective domain, when addressed, often focused on debilitating negative emotions, such as foreign language anxiety. However, the last decade has witnessed what can be described as a profound "affective turn" in second language acquisition (SLA) research. There is a growing recognition that emotions are vitally important for achievement and performance in learning a new language. Researchers and educators now understand that a learner's emotional experiences—both positive and negative—are not mere epiphenomena of cognitive processes but are integral to the learning process itself, significantly influencing motivation, engagement, and, ultimately, linguistic success.

In parallel with this paradigm shift, Positive Psychology (PP) has emerged as a relevant and powerful scientific field of study. Formally introduced by Martin Seligman in 1998, PP marked a radical departure from psychology's traditional focus on pathology, dysfunction, and the treatment of mental illness. Instead, PP is the scientific study of the factors that enable individuals, communities, and institutions to flourish optimally. The field does not deny the existence of difficulties or negative emotions but seeks to balance them by exploring and cultivating the best qualities in life, such as character strengths, optimism, resilience, and well-being.

Although the application of PP in language education generally has shown promising results, with studies indicating that PP interventions can effectively increase foreign language enjoyment, reduce anxiety, and develop basic language skills, a conspicuous gap exists in the literature. The specific application of PP principles within the context of Sociolinguistics pedagogy remains largely unexplored. Sociolinguistics, as the study of the relationship between language and society, focuses on how language is used in real social interactions to construct identities, negotiate relationships, and navigate complex cultural contexts. Therefore, Sociolinguistics is uniquely positioned to benefit from a PP approach. Its subject matter—social interaction, identity, intercultural competence, and language attitudes—is intrinsically linked to the learner's emotional and psychological state. Mastering sociolinguistic competence is not just about knowing the rules, but about having the psychological courage to take risks in social interaction, the empathy to understand others' perspectives, and the resilience to recover from intercultural misunderstandings.

This systematic review aims to address that research gap. By analyzing and synthesizing relevant literature published between 2015 and 2025, this review seeks to build a theoretical bridge between Positive Psychology and Sociolinguistics education. Given the scarcity of direct studies at the intersection of these two fields, this review will adopt a theoretical synthesis approach, drawing from research in adjacent areas—notably PP in general SLA, sociolinguistic theory, and research on intercultural competence and language attitudes. The goal is to articulate how the PP framework, particularly the PERMA model, can serve as a foundation for a sociolinguistic pedagogy that not only enhances students' analytical understanding but also actively cultivates their well-being, social competence, and inclusive attitudes. In doing so, this review proposes a shift from merely teaching about language in society to empowering students with the psychological tools to flourish in a linguistically diverse society.

The Dual Conceptual Foundations: The Architecture of Positive Psychology and Sociolinguistics

To build a coherent bridge between Positive Psychology and Sociolinguistics pedagogy, it is first necessary to lay a solid conceptual foundation of both disciplines. This section will outline the core principles and key constructs of Positive Psychology, with a specific focus on Seligman's PERMA model as an analytical framework. Subsequently, it will define the scope and aims of Sociolinguistics pedagogy, highlighting its emphasis on language as a social practice. Through this juxtaposition, the inherent synergy between the two fields will become apparent, paving the way for the theoretical synthesis in subsequent sections.

The Dimensions of Well-being: Core Principles and Key Constructs of Positive Psychology

Positive Psychology (PP) is defined as the scientific study of the conditions and processes that contribute to the flourishing or optimal functioning of individuals, groups, and institutions. It represents a fundamental shift from the disease model that has historically dominated psychology, which focused exclusively on repairing what is sick or wrong in life. PP does not ignore suffering, but it argues that well-being is more than the mere absence of mental illness. Its goal is to understand and promote the conditions that facilitate happiness and well-being, allowing individuals to reach their full potential.

The foundation of PP is built on three main pillars, as articulated by Seligman and Csikszentmihalyi: (1) positive subjective experiences, (2) positive individual traits, and (3) positive institutions. The first pillar explores positive emotions such as happiness, joy, satisfaction, and hope. The second pillar focuses on individual strengths and virtues—positive character traits like courage, empathy, creativity, perseverance, and wisdom. The third pillar broadens the lens to examine the social institutions, such as families, schools, and communities, that foster positive development and enable individuals to thrive.

From these pillars emerge several key constructs that are central to PP research and interventions:

- a. **Positive Emotions:** This extends beyond momentary happiness to include a range of feelings like gratitude, hope, love, awe, and pride. Barbara Fredrickson's Broaden-and-Build theory posits that positive emotions broaden a person's thought-action repertoires, which in turn builds lasting personal resources (e.g., social skills, knowledge). Practices such as gratitude journaling or noting "three good things" that happened each day have been empirically shown to improve mood and reduce depression.
- b. **Character Strengths:** In contrast to a focus on weaknesses, PP emphasizes the identification and utilization of an individual's inherent character strengths. The Values in Action (VIA) framework identifies 24 universal strengths (e.g., creativity, bravery, kindness, leadership) that contribute to well-being. Consistently using these strengths in daily life is shown to enhance a sense of accomplishment and meaning.
- c. **Resilience:** This is the ability to bounce back from adversity, trauma, or stress. PP studies ways to enhance resilience, such as through developing strong social support, cultivating optimism, and using effective coping strategies. Resilience is not a fixed trait but a skill that can be learned and developed.
- d. **Flow:** Conceptualized by Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi, flow is a state of total engagement and absorption in an activity. During flow, one loses self-consciousness, time feels distorted, and

the activity itself becomes its own reward. This state occurs when the challenge of a task is balanced with one's skill level, fostering deep engagement and enjoyment.

These constructs, together, form the architecture of PP, providing the tools and frameworks to systematically study and cultivate the best aspects of human experience.

Seligman's PERMA Framework as an Analytical Lens

To operationalize the study of well-being, Martin Seligman developed the PERMA model, introduced in his 2011 book *Flourish*. This model is an evolution of his earlier "Authentic Happiness" theory and provides a more comprehensive framework for understanding and measuring well-being or flourishing. PERMA is an acronym for five core elements that Seligman believes are the foundations of sustained well-being. Each element can be pursued for its own sake and contributes to overall flourishing. This model is particularly well-suited as an analytical lens for this review due to its multidimensional nature and its proven application in higher education contexts.

The five elements of PERMA are as follows:

- a. P – Positive Emotion: This element encompasses feeling good in general. It is more than just happiness and includes a range of emotions like joy, hope, love, gratitude, and serenity. Cultivating positive emotions is about learning to stay optimistic and view life from a more constructive perspective.
- b. E – Engagement: This refers to the state of flow—being completely absorbed in a task that is challenging yet achievable. Engagement is about being "one with the music," where concentration is so intense that self-consciousness and the passage of time disappear. It involves using one's character strengths to meet challenges.
- c. R – Relationships: Humans are social creatures, and positive, authentic, and supportive relationships are essential for well-being. As Christopher Peterson famously put it, "Other people matter". This element emphasizes the importance of creating and nurturing healthy relationships where there is emotional support, mutual respect, and positive contribution to shared growth.
- d. M – Meaning: Meaning or purpose comes from the sense of belonging to and serving something bigger than oneself. Having a purpose in life provides direction, context, and the motivation to persevere through challenges. It is about answering the "why" behind one's actions.
- e. A – Accomplishment: This is the pursuit of success, mastery, and achievement. People often pursue accomplishment for its own sake, even when it does not always produce positive emotion or meaning. Setting and achieving realistic goals, as outlined by the SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound) framework, can enhance feelings of pride and self-confidence.

The PERMA model provides a measurable and actionable framework for well-being. Tools like the PERMA-Profiler, a validated questionnaire, are used to assess each component, allowing researchers and practitioners to measure and enhance flourishing in various populations, including university students.

Language as Social Practice: The Scope and Aims of Sociolinguistics Pedagogy

Sociolinguistics is an interdisciplinary field that lies at the intersection of sociology and linguistics. Fundamentally, it studies the reciprocal relationship between language and society. Unlike formal linguistics, which often analyzes language as an abstract, decontextualized system, sociolinguistics asserts that language is inseparable from the social context in which it is used. Language is viewed as a social system, a tool for communication and interaction, and an integral part of a society's culture.

Therefore, the primary goal of Sociolinguistics education is not merely the mastery of grammatical rules, but the development of a deep understanding of how language functions in the social world. The core learning objectives of Sociolinguistics can be synthesized as follows:

- a. **Understanding Language Variation:** Sociolinguistics learners learn that language is not monolithic. It varies systematically based on social factors such as social class (sociolect), geographical location (dialect), age group (chronolect), and context of use (register or functiolect). The goal is to recognize, analyze, and appreciate this variation as a natural and rich aspect of human language.
- b. **Developing Communicative Competence:** This concept, which goes beyond linguistic competence (knowledge of grammar), focuses on the appropriate use of language in specific social situations. It includes understanding speech levels, politeness strategies, and various functions of language (e.g., emotive function to express feelings, conative function to influence others, phatic function to maintain social contact). Learners learn that "what" is said is often less important than "how" it is said in achieving communicative goals.
- c. **Analyzing Social Identity:** Language is a primary tool for constructing and displaying social identity. The way a person speaks—their word choice, accent, style—signals information about who they are and how they wish to be seen in relation to others. Sociolinguistics pedagogy involves analyzing how social identities (e.g., gender, ethnicity, profession) are negotiated and performed through verbal interaction between speakers and interlocutors.
- d. **Fostering Critical Awareness:** A crucial aim of Sociolinguistics is to foster a critical awareness of the language ideologies and social judgments attached to different language varieties. Learners examine how certain language varieties (often those associated with dominant groups) are given prestige, while others are stigmatized. This leads to an understanding of issues such as linguistic prejudice, discrimination, and the relationship between language and power.

In essence, Sociolinguistics teaches that using language is a social act. Every utterance is a choice that both reflects and shapes social relationships.

When the conceptual foundations of Positive Psychology and Sociolinguistics are laid side-by-side, a profound synergy emerges. Both fields, despite originating from different disciplines, share a fundamental focus on human connection and context. PP, through the 'R' (Relationships) and 'M' (Meaning) elements of the PERMA model, explicitly theorizes the fundamental psychological need for positive social bonds and a sense of belonging within a community. Sociolinguistics, in turn, studies language not as an abstract system but as the primary tool through which those social bonds are created, maintained, and negotiated within a "speech community". Thus, PP's third pillar, "positive institutions", can be mapped directly onto the sociolinguistic concept of the "speech community." A community that supports the well-being of its members will likely have healthy communicative practices, and vice versa. This inherent

synergy suggests that applying PP to Sociolinguistics is not a forced amalgamation of disparate fields, but a natural and logical extension. A sociolinguistics classroom that intentionally cultivates positive relationships (PERMA's 'R' element) is simultaneously creating the ideal environment for the authentic use and analysis of language—the core object of study for Sociolinguistics itself.

METHOD

Before building the theoretical bridge to Sociolinguistics, it is essential to first map the existing research landscape of Positive Psychology within the broader context of second language acquisition (SLA). The decade between 2015 and 2025 has been a period of significant growth for this burgeoning field. This section will synthesize the key trends and findings from this research, analyze the empirical evidence from classroom interventions, and critically evaluate the methodological and conceptual focus of existing studies. This analysis will reveal the strengths of the existing foundation while highlighting the crucial gap that motivates this review.

Research interest at the intersection of PP and language learning is relatively new. While some initial studies appeared before 2015, the field began to gain significant traction around 2014 and saw an exponential growth in publications from 2016 onwards . This period was marked by a move from relatively peripheral journals to publications in more mainstream applied linguistics journals, signaling a growing recognition and acceptance of the importance of the PP perspective. Interestingly, scholars in Applied Linguistics showed a greater interest in this relationship than scholars in Psychology itself .

A synthesis of several systematic reviews conducted within this period reveals a consistent and robust set of findings. Overwhelmingly, research indicates that the application of PP elements and interventions effectively increases foreign language enjoyment, significantly reduces foreign language anxiety, and positively impacts motivation and performance in basic language skills. This relationship has been shown to be bidirectional: not only do positive emotions and well-being facilitate language learning, but the experience of successful and positive language learning can also enhance a learner's overall well-being .

However, this research landscape also reveals several prominent demographic and linguistic trends. The vast majority of studies have focused on the learning of English as a Foreign Language (EFL), making it the dominant target language in over 95% of the research . Furthermore, the most studied participant population is university students, with approximately 76% of studies focusing on higher education. Geographically, there is a significant concentration of research conducted in Asian contexts, with many studies originating from China, Japan, and Iran. These trends, while providing valuable insights, collectively highlight a demographic and linguistic gap in the existing literature. Less is known about how these dynamics apply to target languages other than English, to younger age groups (e.g., secondary education), or in non-Asian cultural contexts.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

The core of PP research in SLA lies in intervention studies designed to actively cultivate well-being in the language classroom. These interventions are often adaptations of established PP exercises from other fields and aim to measure their causal impact on affective variables and language learning outcomes. Some of the most common interventions documented in the literature include:

- a. **Gratitude and Positive Affect Exercises:** Classic interventions, such as having students regularly write down "three good things that happened each day" or keep a gratitude journal, have been proven effective. These exercises train students to focus on the positive aspects of life, which can in turn improve mood and reduce negative emotions associated with language learning.
- b. **Character Strength Identification and Application:** Interventions that help students identify their unique character strengths (e.g., through the VIA survey) and then find ways to apply those strengths in their language learning process have also shown positive results. For example, a student with the strength of "creativity" might be encouraged to write stories in the target language, while a student with the strength of "bravery" might be challenged to initiate conversations with native speakers.
- c. **Mindfulness and Resilience Training:** Techniques such as mindfulness meditation and exercises designed to build psychological resilience have been used to help students manage the stress and anxiety associated with language performance. By increasing present-moment awareness and developing adaptive coping strategies, students become more emotionally equipped to face learning challenges.
- d. **Creating a Positive Atmosphere:** Beyond structured exercises, research also highlights the importance of creating a generally positive classroom atmosphere. This can be achieved through the use of humor, music, poetry, and activities that promote laughter and social connection.

Studies evaluating these interventions have reported results on concrete language learning outcomes. For instance, PP interventions have been linked to improvements in vocabulary acquisition, increased syntactic complexity, and enhanced listening and speaking skills. The role of the teacher in facilitating this environment is crucial. Research has shown that teachers' own well-being, their trait emotional intelligence (TEI), and their ability to effectively regulate their own and their students' emotions are directly linked to better pedagogical outcomes and a more positive classroom climate.

The following table synthesizes findings from representative empirical studies within the reviewed period, specifically highlighting their relevance (or lack thereof) to sociolinguistic competence.

Table 1. Synthesis of Empirical Studies on Positive Psychology Interventions in Language Learning (2015-2025)

Author(s) & Year (Representative)	PP Construct/ Intervention	Participant Profile	Methodology	Key Findings on Language Skills	Relevance to Sociolinguistic Competence
Dewaele & Mercer (2018)	Teacher Trait Emotional Intelligence (TEI)	513 EFL/ ESL teachers	Quantitative (Survey)	Teachers with higher TEI reported better classroom management and pedagogical skills.	Implicit: Emotionally intelligent teachers create a more positive classroom climate, which can facilitate the higher-risk social interactions required for sociolinguistic practice.
Seligman et al. (2009)	"Three Good Things" & Character Strength Identification	School students	Experimental (Control Group)	Increased enjoyment and engagement in school; improved social skills (empathy, cooperation).	Implicit: Enhanced empathy and cooperation are prerequisites for effective intercultural competence and sociolinguistic interaction.
Wang et al. (2021)	Grit & Enjoyment	EFL university students in China	Quantitative (SEM)	Grit positively predicted language performance; enjoyment mediated this relationship.	Not Explicitly Stated: Focus was on general language performance (e.g., test scores), not on pragmatic or sociolinguistic appropriateness.
Li et al. (2018)	PERMA Intervention	EFL university students	Mixed-Methods	Significant improvement in listening, speaking, and vocabulary skills.	Not Explicitly Stated: Outcomes were measured in terms of discrete linguistic skills, not in the ability to navigate complex social contexts.
Aydin & Tekin (2024)	Systematic Review of various PP interventions	Various language learners	Systematic Review	PP positively affects enjoyment, motivation, and	Implicit: Reduced anxiety can increase willingness to communicate, which is foundational for

				performance; reduces anxiety.	developing sociolinguistic competence.
Morris & King (2018)	Teacher Emotion Regulation	7 university teachers in Japan	Qualitative (Interviews, Observations)	Teachers used strategies to manage negative emotions caused by student apathy.	Implicit: The teacher's ability to maintain a stable emotional climate is crucial for encouraging student participation in communicative activities.

An analysis of this research landscape reveals a significant pattern. While the existing body of research provides a strong and valuable foundation demonstrating that PP is beneficial for language learners, it consistently exhibits what could be termed a "competency bias" toward formal linguistic skills. The reviewed studies consistently measure outcomes in terms of test scores, proficiency levels, and discrete skills like listening, speaking, reading, and writing. These metrics, while important, align with a more traditional, structuralist view of language. They fail to capture the essence of sociolinguistic competence, which involves appropriateness, politeness, identity negotiation, and critical awareness—skills not easily measured by standardized tests.

For example, an intervention like gratitude journaling is shown to reduce anxiety in general. This is undoubtedly beneficial. However, the existing research does not take the next step to explore whether this anxiety reduction specifically translates into a decreased apprehension in navigating high-stakes, face-threatening sociolinguistic situations (e.g., disagreeing with a superior, code-switching appropriately, or responding to a microaggression). Thus, the field is ripe to move beyond the general question of "Does PP help language learning?" to more nuanced and socially significant questions like "Which specific PP interventions can cultivate the psychological resilience needed to navigate complex intercultural and sociolinguistic encounters?" It is this gap that the theoretical synthesis in the next section seeks to bridge.

The Core Synthesis: Building the Bridge Between Positive Psychology and Sociolinguistics Pedagogy

Having mapped the existing research landscape and identified its critical gap, this section presents the core argument of this review: a theoretical synthesis that explicitly connects the principles of Positive Psychology with the pedagogical goals of Sociolinguistics. This section will argue that the PP framework, particularly the PERMA model, is not just relevant but can be transformative for sociolinguistics education. This synthesis will be built across three key areas: (1) how PERMA can be used to directly foster communicative and intercultural competence; (2) how PP concepts like growth mindset can be used to transform language attitudes and learner identities; and (3) how the pillar of "positive institutions" can be actualized to create a flourishing sociolinguistics classroom.

Beyond Fluency: Fostering Communicative and Intercultural Competence through PERMA

The ultimate goal of sociolinguistic learning is not grammatical fluency, but communicative competence—the ability to use language effectively and appropriately in various social contexts. This inherently involves intercultural understanding. The PERMA model provides a highly effective framework for fostering this complex competence.

- a. **R (Relationships):** This element is the cornerstone of this synthesis. Authentic sociolinguistic learning requires students to engage in communicative practices that are often interpersonally high-risk—such as role-playing different statuses, negotiating disagreement, or discussing culturally sensitive topics. To do this effectively, students need more than linguistic knowledge; they need psychological safety. By intentionally focusing on building positive, trusting, and supportive relationships within the classroom, an educator creates what has been termed a "brave space". In this space, students feel safe enough to take risks, make sociolinguistic errors, and give and receive constructive feedback without fear of judgment or loss of face. A classroom rich in positive relationships becomes a living laboratory for sociolinguistic practice, directly supporting the development of pragmatic and communicative competence.
- b. **M (Meaning):** Connecting learning to a sense of meaning or purpose is a powerful motivator. In a sociolinguistic context, meaning can be framed beyond the instrumental goal of "getting a better job." Learning about another culture's communicative norms can be presented not as a technical exercise in memorizing rules, but as an act of finding a greater purpose in connecting with humanity and understanding different worldviews. Empathy, a core component of intercultural understanding, can be explicitly taught and framed as a character strength to be cultivated. When students see sociolinguistic learning as a way to become more empathetic and connected global citizens, their learning becomes deeply purpose-driven, enhancing their perseverance and engagement.
- c. **P (Positive Emotion):** Intercultural interactions can be stressful and fraught with the potential for misunderstanding. Cultivating positive emotions in the classroom can serve as a buffer against this stress. The appropriate use of humor, celebrating small communicative successes, and creating a joyful and engaging classroom climate can increase students' engagement in intercultural exchanges and enhance their willingness to take communicative risks. A positive classroom climate, fostered by the teacher's attitude and behavior, makes students feel welcomed and valued, which significantly reduces the "us versus them" mentality that often hinders genuine intercultural communication.
- d. **E (Engagement) & A (Accomplishment):** When students feel safe (Relationships), motivated by purpose (Meaning), and supported by positive emotions, they are more likely to achieve a state of engagement or flow in sociolinguistic tasks. Tasks such as conducting mini-ethnographic projects, analyzing real-world conversations, or participating in complex intercultural simulations can be designed to balance challenge and skill, fostering deep engagement. Each success in navigating these interactions becomes an accomplishment, building students' confidence and self-efficacy in their sociolinguistic abilities.

Transforming Attitudes and Identities: The Role of Growth Mindset and Positive Affect

One of the most crucial goals of sociolinguistics education is to examine and challenge language attitudes—the social judgments and stereotypes attached to the way people speak. PP offers a powerful tool for addressing this goal through the concept of mindset from Carol Dweck.

Dweck's theory distinguishes between a *fixed mindset*—the belief that abilities like intelligence are innate and unchangeable—and a *growth mindset*—the belief that abilities can be developed through effort and practice. This synthesis proposes a strong parallel between mindsets about ability and mindsets about language. A person with a *fixed mindset* about intelligence may also be prone to prescriptive and prejudiced language attitudes—a belief that there is only one "correct" or "intelligent" way to speak, and that other varieties are "wrong" or "inferior." Conversely, a person with a *growth mindset* is more likely to exhibit greater linguistic tolerance, viewing language variation not as error but as a rich resource and evidence of human adaptation.

This link can be established by connecting research on mindset in L2 motivation with the sociolinguistic goal of analyzing social judgments of language. Research has identified "maladaptive pathways" associated with a fixed mindset in language learning, such as a focus on the "ought-to L2 self" driven by external pressures and fear of negative judgment. This pathway can be paralleled with the social pressures that lead to linguistic insecurity—the feeling that one's way of speaking is inadequate, often experienced by speakers of non-standard varieties.

Therefore, PP interventions explicitly designed to cultivate a growth mindset among students can be a highly effective pedagogical tool for directly challenging linguistic stereotypes. By teaching students that communicative ability is flexible and can be developed, educators can help them become more open to linguistic difference and more critical of standard language ideologies. This approach is also highly relevant for helping students navigate their own linguistic identity negotiations, particularly in international or English Medium Instruction (EMI) contexts where they may feel pressure to abandon their native language varieties to conform to a perceived prestigious norm .

Positive Institutions: Creating the Flourishing Sociolinguistics Classroom

PP's third pillar, positive institutions, calls for the creation of environments that enable individuals to thrive . When applied to education, this means viewing the classroom not just as a site for information transmission, but as a social-emotional ecosystem that actively supports student well-being. A "positive sociolinguistics classroom" can be defined as an environment that embodies the following principles:

- a. Promoting Psychological Safety: The subject matter of sociolinguistics often touches on deeply personal and socially sensitive issues, such as the relationship between language and power, race, class, and gender. A positive classroom is one where students feel safe to discuss these topics openly, share their personal experiences, and explore different perspectives without fear of being shamed or judged for their linguistic background or social identity.
- b. Valuing Linguistic Diversity: Rather than adopting a deficit model that views non-standard language varieties as something to be "corrected," a positive classroom treats students' multilingual and multidialectal resources as valuable assets. Students' own languages and dialects become legitimate objects of study and rich sources of insight. This approach aligns

with translingual and decolonial pedagogies that challenge the hegemony of standard languages and validate the learner's entire linguistic repertoire.

- c. **Fostering Collaborative Learning:** The interactive nature of sociolinguistics makes it uniquely suited for collaborative learning. A positive classroom emphasizes teamwork, peer support, and co-creation of meaning, mirroring and reinforcing the 'Relationships' element of PERMA. Students learn *with* and *from* each other, modeling the communicative dynamics of a healthy speech community.
- d. **Encouraging Critical Hope:** Sociolinguistics often uncovers systemic linguistic injustices and inequalities. While this critical awareness is essential, it can lead to cynicism or despair if not balanced with a sense of agency. A positive classroom blends critical consciousness (a core goal of sociolinguistics) with an optimistic, action-oriented belief (a core goal of PP). It cultivates "critical hope"—the belief that students can not only understand the social world of language but also have the capacity to be agents of change, advocates for linguistic justice in their own communities.

The synthesis of these three areas proposes a significant paradigm shift in sociolinguistic pedagogy. It moves the learning objective from the mere *analysis* of social phenomena to the active *cultivation* of sociolinguistically healthy individuals and communities. For example, instead of only analyzing the negative effects of linguistic stereotyping, a PP-infused pedagogy would equip students with the psychological tools such as resilience, optimism, and character strengths like courage to actively challenge those stereotypes in their own lives. Instead of only describing the features of a prestige dialect, the classroom becomes a "positive institution" that actively validates and celebrates all linguistic varieties present within it. As such, this synthesis proposes a move toward an *applied and activist sociolinguistics*, where the classroom becomes a laboratory not just for studying society, but for prototyping a more positive, inclusive, and linguistically just one.

CONCLUSIONS

This systematic review has sought to build a conceptual bridge between Positive Psychology and Sociolinguistics education, two fields that, despite their different origins, share a profound concern for human interaction and well-being. The analysis revealed that while research on PP in language acquisition generally has flourished, its focus has tended toward formal linguistic skills, leaving the complex and socially vital domain of sociolinguistic competence as uncharted territory. By proposing the PERMA model as a synthesizing framework, this review has articulated how PP principles can be directly applied to foster communicative and intercultural competence, transform detrimental language attitudes through the cultivation of a growth mindset, and create classrooms as positive institutions that support flourishing.

It is crucial to reiterate that the integration of PP into sociolinguistics is not about creating a superficial sense of "happiness" or ignoring the harsh realities of linguistic injustice. Rather, it is about intentionally equipping students with the psychological strengths—the resilience to cope with communicative failure, the empathy to understand different perspectives, the courage to challenge prejudice, and the sense of meaning to see language learning as an avenue to deeper human connection. It is about recognizing that learning to navigate the complex social world of language is an emotionally demanding task as well as an intellectual one.

Ultimately, this synthesis calls for a new pedagogical approach that sees sociolinguistics education not just as an academic exercise in critical analysis, but as a fundamental component in the development of flourishing, interculturally competent, and socially conscious global citizens. By uniting the insights from sociolinguistics on how language shapes our social world with the tools from positive psychology on how individuals can thrive in that world, we can create a learning experience that is not only informative but truly transformative. The future of sociolinguistics education lies in its ability to not only enlighten the mind, but also to empower the spirit.

REFERENCES

- Abduh, A., Sakkir, G., Rosmaladewi, R., Andrew, M., & Yasdin, Y. (2022). Teachers' Perceptions of English Teaching Strategies in the Current Curriculum Change. *International Journal of Language Education*, 4(6), 437-444.
- Agudo, J. de D. M. (Ed.). (2018). *Emotions in second language teaching*. Springer.
- Atmowardoyo, H., & Sakkir, G. (2021, November). The Development of language learning theory based on best practice: A Literature Review. In *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Languages and Arts (ICLA 2021)*(pp. 172-184). Atlantis Press.
- Atmowardoyo, H., Sakkir, G., & Sakkir, R. I. (2023). The Characteristics of Good Language Learners in Indonesia EFL Context. *ARRUS Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 3(4), 565-569.
- Atmowardoyo, H., Weda, S., & Sakkir, G. (2021, March). Learning Strategies in English Writing used by Good Language Learners in Millennial Era: A Positive Case Study in Universitas Negeri Makassar. In *Proceeding Book the Language Teacher Training and Education International Conference* (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 187-196). Program Magister Pendidikan bahasa Inggris Fakultas keguruan dan ilmu pendidikan Universitas Sebelas Maret.
- Aydın, S., & Tekin, I. (2024). Positive psychology and language learning: A systematic scoping review. *Review of Education*.
- Brown, N. J. L., Sokal, A. D., & Friedman, H. L. (2013). The complex dynamics of wishful thinking: The critical positivity ratio. *American Psychologist*, 68(9), 801–813.
- Butler, J., & Kern, M. L. (2016). The PERMA-Profiler: A brief multidimensional measure of flourishing. *International Journal of Wellbeing*, 6(3), 1–48.
- Byram, M. (1997). *Teaching and assessing intercultural communicative competence*. Multilingual Matters.
- Chaer, A., & Agustina, L. (2010). *Sosiolinguistik: Perkenalan awal*. Rineka Cipta.
- Coifman, K. G., Flynn, J. J., & Pinto, L. A. (2016). When 'being positive' is not positive: The role of positive emotion granularity in predicting affective responses to stress. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 111(3), 444–463.

- De Costa, P. I., & Van Gorp, K. (2023). Critical EMI Awareness (beyond Universities). In S. A. Mirhosseini & P. I. De Costa (Eds.), *Critical English Medium Instruction in Higher Education*. Cambridge University Press.
- Dewaele, J.-M., & MacIntyre, P. D. (2014). The two faces of Janus? Anxiety and enjoyment in the foreign language classroom. *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching*, 4(2), 237–274.
- Dewaele, J.-M., & Mercer, S. (2018). The role of trait emotional intelligence in teachers' reported self-efficacy, classroom management, and creativity. In J. de D. Martínez Agudo (Ed.), *Emotions in second language teaching*(pp. 155–172). Springer.
- Fishman, J. A. (1972). *The sociology of language: An interdisciplinary social science approach to language in society*. Newbury House.
- Fredrickson, B. L. (2001). The role of positive emotions in positive psychology: The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 218–226.
- Gable, S. L., & Haidt, J. (2005). What (and why) is positive psychology? *Review of General Psychology*, 9(2), 103–110.
- Gregersen, T., MacIntyre, P. D., & Mercer, S. (2016). Positive psychology interventions in language teaching. In D. Gabryś-Barker & D. Gałajda (Eds.), *Positive psychology perspectives on foreign language learning and teaching*(pp. 25-44). Springer.
- Helm, F. (2025). Conceptualisations of English in an Italian EMI Context. In S. A. Mirhosseini & P. I. De Costa (Eds.), *Critical English Medium Instruction in Higher Education*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hill, A. (2020). *The PERMA Model: A Scientific Theory of Happiness*. Autism Together.
- Holmes, J. (2013). *An introduction to sociolinguistics* (4th ed.). Pearson.
- Hsieh, C. J., & Watson, S. (2025). Fostering Well-Being and Belonging among International Graduate Students: A Positive Psychology Approach. *Journal of International Students*.
- Hymes, D. (1972). On communicative competence. In J. B. Pride & J. Holmes (Eds.), *Sociolinguistics: Selected readings* (pp. 269–293). Penguin.
- Jeffries, M., & Boyd, A. (2018). Cultivating resilience and resistance in Trump's America: Employing critical hope as a framework in LGBTQ+ centers. *The Journal of Critical Scholarship on Higher Education and Student Affairs*, 3(3), 26-37.
- Kern, M. L., Waters, L. E., Adler, A., & White, M. A. (2015). A multidimensional approach to measuring well-being in students: Application of the PERMA framework. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 10(3), 262-271.
- Kun, Á., Balogh, P., & Krasz, K. G. (2017). Development of the PERMA well-being scale in a Hungarian sample. *Health Psychology and Behavioral Medicine*, 5(1), 1-14.
- Li, C., Jiang, G., & Dewaele, J. M. (2018). Understanding Chinese high school students' foreign language enjoyment: validation of the Chinese version of the foreign language enjoyment scale. *System*, 76, 183-196.

- Li, W. (2018). Translanguaging as a practical theory of language. *Applied Linguistics*, 39(1), 9-30.
- Lou, N. M., & Noels, K. A. (2019). Mindsets of language ability and the L2 motivational self system in a study abroad context. *The Modern Language Journal*, 103(1), 262-278.
- MacIntyre, P. D., & Gregersen, T. (2012). Emotions that facilitate language learning: The positive-broadening power of the imagination. *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching*, 2(2), 193-213.
- MacIntyre, P. D., Gregersen, T., & Mercer, S. (2016). *Positive psychology in SLA*. Multilingual Matters.
- Mercer, S. (2016). Seeing the world through their eyes: The role of empathy in language teaching. In D. Gabryś-Barker & D. Gałajda (Eds.), *Positive psychology perspectives on foreign language learning and teaching* (pp. 15-24). Springer.
- MISNAWATI, M., Sakkir, G., Puspita, N., Akbar, Z., & Yusriadi, Y. (2021). Student learning interest in COVID-19 pandemic age by blended e-learning (Asynchronous and synchronous). In *Proceedings of the 11th Annual International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management* (pp. 6330-6339). IEOM Society International.
- Morris, S., & King, J. (2018). The emotional labour of university teaching in Japan. *Language Teaching Research*, 22(5), 599-616.
- Oxford, R. L. (2016). Toward a psychology of well-being for language learners: The “EMPATHICS” vision. In D. Gabryś-Barker & D. Gałajda (Eds.), *Positive psychology perspectives on foreign language learning and teaching* (pp. 45-68). Springer.
- Peterson, C., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2004). *Character strengths and virtues: A handbook and classification*. Oxford University Press.
- Ryff, C. D., & Keyes, C. L. M. (1995). The structure of psychological well-being revisited. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 69(4), 719-727.
- Sakkir, G., Dollah, S., & Ahmad, J. (2021). Characteristics of a Good Efl Teacher: Indonesian Efl Students Perspectives. *Jurnal Nalar Pendidikan*, 9(1), 52-59.
- Seligman, M. E. P. (2002). *Authentic happiness: Using the new positive psychology to realize your potential for lasting fulfillment*. Free Press.
- Seligman, M. E. P. (2006). *Learned optimism: How to change your mind and your life*. Vintage Books.
- Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). *Flourish: A visionary new understanding of happiness and well-being*. Free Press.
- Seligman, M. E. P., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). Positive psychology: An introduction. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 5-14.
- Sung, C. C. M. (2025). Ideologies of Language Use in an EMI University in Hong Kong: The Perspectives of International Students. In S. A. Mirhosseini & P. I. De Costa (Eds.), *Critical English Medium Instruction in Higher Education*. Cambridge University Press.

- Tahir, S. Z. B., Sakkir, G., & Iye, R. (2024). Buru Language Learning Management Model in Ecotourism-Based Embedded-Multilingual: Teachers' Need Analysis. *IDARAH: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Kependidikan*, 8(1), 15-30.
- Trisnawati, I., Nur, S., & Sakkir, G. (2023). The students' perceptions of the asynchronous online learning model at Institut Parahikma Indonesia Post-Pandemic Covid-19. *Celebes Journal of Language Studies*, 169-180.
- Wang, Y., Derakhshan, A., & Zhang, L. J. (2021). Researching and practicing positive psychology in second/foreign language learning and teaching: The past, current status and future directions. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 731721.
- Wardhaugh, R., & Fuller, J. M. (2015). *An introduction to sociolinguistics* (7th ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
- Weda, S., Sakkir, G., & Sakti, A. E. F. (2023). Students' English learning strategies in dealing with Merdeka Belajar Kampus Merdeka (MBKM) curriculum in Indonesia: Perceptions and factors. *ELS Journal on Interdisciplinary Studies in Humanities*, 6(2), 343-356.